UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,085	12/20/2001	Kenneth M. Maxham	CIE-0063(21216-05666)	5909	
. 22474 CLEMENTS V	7590 12/26/2006 WALKER		EXAM	EXAMINER	
-	ROUGH ROAD		LEUNG, CHRISTINA Y		
SUITE 300 CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOTTE	5, IVC 20211		2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/037,085	MAXHAM, KENNETH M.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christina Y. Leung	2613 ·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	offidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	erie later In no		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since of Appeal has been filed. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	OTE below);			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	piected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.110 and 41.33(a)).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•		
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).		
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				

13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would add limitations to the claims (such as with respect to a criterion constraining by a node loss algorithm in addition to one or more other types of algorithms as recited) that were not previously presented. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and search and will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment will not be entered. See note regarding 3(a) above.

CHRISTINA LEUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER